

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/673,120	09/26/2003	Michael E. O'Donnell	22221/1070 (RU 339)	2639	
7590 03/22/2006			EXAMINER		
Nixon Peabody LLP			HUTSON, RICHARD G		
Clinton Square			·		
P.O. Box 31051	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Rochester, NY 14603-1051			1652		
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/673,	120	O'DONNELL ET	O'DONNELL ET AL.				
		Examine	ər	Art Unit					
			G. Hutson	1652					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commure of the provision of the pr	ILING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nication. tory period will apply and Ill, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUN event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MO oplication to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-8</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.								
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
٠,١	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
				·					
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/2003</u>. 			Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-8 are at issue and are present for examination.

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper."

Applicants filing of information disclosure statement filed on 9/26/2003, is acknowledged. Those references considered have been initialed.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Applicants claim that the instant application is a continuation of Application Serial Number: 09/716,964, is objected to on the basis that the recitation in claims 1 and 4, "comprising at most about 0.9M sodium citrate buffer at a temperature of at least about 37°C" is not supported by the specification of Application Serial Number 09/716,964 and thus relative to the parent application would be considered new matter.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 3 and 4 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 1 and 4 each recite "hybridizes to the complement of SEQ ID NO: 125...".

For the sake of clarity it is suggested that these be amended to recite "hybridizes to the **complete** complement of SEQ ID NO: 125..."

Claim 3 depends from rejected claim 4.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 is indefinite in that it is unclear how claim 5 further limits claim 1 from which it depends. Claim 1 is drawn to "an isolated Aquifex Delta prime subunit..." and claim 5 is drawn to the isolated *Aquifex* Delta prime subunit according to claim 1, wherein the Delta prime subunit is purified. It is confusing and unclear as to applicants intended difference in the terms "isolated" and "purified" such that it is unclear how claim 5 further limits claim 1.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1652

Claims 1, 2 and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 1, 2 and 4-8 are directed to all possible isolated Delta prime subunits of a DNA polymerase III-type enzyme, having an undefined functional limitation, wherein said DNA molecule hybridizes to the complement of SEQ ID NO: 125, under conditions comprising at most about 0.9M sodium citrate buffer at a temperature of at least 37°C. The specification, however, only provides a single representative species isolated from Aquifex aeolicus comprising the complete amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 126, encompassed by these claims. There is no disclosure of any particular structure to function/activity relationship in the single disclosed species. The specification also fails to describe additional representative species of these enzymes by any identifying structural characteristics or properties other than those recited in claim 1, for which no predictability of structure and function is apparent. Given this lack of additional representative species as encompassed by the claims. Applicants have failed to sufficiently describe the claimed invention, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms that a skilled artisan would recognize Applicants were in possession of the claimed invention. In the instant specification, a single a Delta prime subunit of a DNA polymerase III-type enzyme is fully described in the form of SEQ ID NO: 126.

Application/Control Number: 10/673,120

Art Unit: 1652

Applicant is referred to the revised guidelines concerning compliance with the written description requirement of U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, published in the Official Gazette and also available at www.uspto.gov.

Claims 1, 2 and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an isolated Delta prime subunit of a DNA polymerase III-type enzyme, comprising **the** amino sequence of SEQ ID NO: 126, does not reasonably provide enablement for any Delta prime subunit of a DNA polymerase III-type enzyme from any *Aquifex* species, hybridizing to the complement of SEQ ID NO: 125 under conditions comprising at most about 0.9M sodium citrate buffer at a temperature of at least about 37°C. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required, are summarized in In re Wands (858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ 2nd 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988)) as follows: (1) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (2) the amount of direction or guidance presented, (3) the presence or absence of working examples, (4) the nature of the invention, (5) the state of the prior art, (6) the relative skill of those in the art, (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (8) the breadth of the claim(s).

Claims 1, 2 and 4-8 are so broad as to encompass any Delta prime subunit of a DNA polymerase III-type enzyme from any *Aquifex* species, hybridizing to the

Art Unit: 1652

complement of SEQ ID NO: 125, under conditions comprising at most about 0.9M sodium citrate buffer at a temperature of at least about 37°C. The scope of the claims is not commensurate with the enablement provided by the disclosure with regard to the extremely large number of Delta prime subunits broadly encompassed by the claims. The claims rejected under this section of U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, place minimal structural limits on the claimed subunits. Since the amino acid sequence of a protein determines its structural and functional properties, predictability of which changes can be tolerated in a protein's amino acid sequence and obtain the desired activity requires a knowledge of and guidance with regard to which amino acids in the protein's sequence, if any, are tolerant of modification and which are conserved (i.e. expectedly intolerant to modification), and detailed knowledge of the ways in which the proteins' structure relates to its function. However, in this case the disclosure is limited to that Delta prime subunit of a DNA polymerase III-type enzyme, comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 126.

While recombinant and mutagenesis techniques are known, it is not routine in the art to screen for multiple substitutions or multiple modifications, as encompassed by the instant claims, and the positions within a protein's sequence where amino acid modifications can be made with a reasonable expectation of success in obtaining the desired activity/utility are limited in any protein and the result of such modifications is unpredictable. In addition, one skilled in the art would expect any tolerance to modification for a given protein to diminish with each further and additional modification, e.g. multiple substitutions.

Page 7

Art Unit: 1652

The specification does not support the broad scope of the claims which encompass all modifications and fragments of any Delta prime subunit of a DNA polymerase III-type enzyme from any *Aquifex* species, because the specification does not establish: (A) regions of the protein structure which may be modified without effecting the desired Delta prime subunit activity; (B) the general tolerance of a Delta prime subunit of a DNA polymerase III-type enzyme to modification and extent of such tolerance; (C) a rational and predictable scheme for modifying any amino acid residue of a Delta prime subunit of a DNA polymerase III-type enzyme with an expectation of obtaining the desired biological function; and (D) the specification provides insufficient guidance as to which of the essentially infinite possible choices is likely to be successful. Because of this lack of guidance, the extended experimentation that would be required to determine which substitutions would be acceptable to retain the desired Delta prime subunit activity and the fact that the relationship between the sequence of a peptide and its tertiary structure (i.e. its activity) are not well understood and are not predictable (e.g., see Ngo et al. in The Protein Folding Problem and Tertiary Structure Prediction, 1994, Merz et al. (ed.), Birkhauser, Boston, MA, pp. 433 and 492-495, Ref: 65, IDS submitted on 9/25/2003), it would require undue experimentation for one skilled in the art to arrive at the majority of those subunit polypeptides of the claimed having the desired biological activity.

Thus, applicants have not provided sufficient guidance to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the claimed invention in a manner reasonably correlated with the scope of the claims broadly including any Delta prime subunit of a DNA

Art Unit: 1652

polymerase III-type enzyme from any *Aquifex* species, hybridizing to the complement of SEQ ID NO: 125 under conditions comprising at most about 0.9M sodium citrate buffer at a temperature of at least about 37°C. The scope of the claims must bear a reasonable correlation with the scope of enablement (In re Fisher, 166 USPQ 19 24 (CCPA 1970)). Without sufficient guidance, determination of those subunit polypeptides having the desired biological characteristics is unpredictable and the experimentation left to those skilled in the art is unnecessarily, and improperly, extensive and undue. See In re Wands 858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ2nd 1400 (Fed. Cir, 1988).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Deckert et al. (Database Swissprot on line, Accession No. O67486, August 1, 1998).

Deckert et al. et al. teach a protein that is 100% identical over the full length 305 amino acid sequence of instantly disclosed SEQ ID NO: 126. It is noted that the amino acid sequence of the sequence identifier 126 is only granted priority to the previous parent application, 09/716,964, 11/21/2000, and thus the protein taught by Deckert et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/673,120

Art Unit: 1652

anticipates the claimed protein. Thus claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are anticipated by Deckert et

al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Richard G. Hutson whose telephone number is 571-272-

0930. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard G Hutson, Ph.D.

Page 9

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1652

rgh

3/16/2006